

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/707,314	12/04/2003	James R. Webb	GENSP009C1	1313	
22434	7590 06/14/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP P.O. BOX 70250			ALEMU, I	ALEMU, EPHREM	
OAKLAND,	CA 94612-0250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2821		
			DATE MAILED, 06/14/2004	DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				~		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/707,314	WEBB, JAMES R.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ephrem Alemu	2821			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	,		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to divide apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed m the mailing date of this communicat ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	March 2006.				
·		is action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and allowed.	awn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
•	The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	cepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		-	• •		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	t (s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/2003, 3/2006</u> .	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/707,314

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 9 recites a limitation "Computer program product for dynamically correcting, on a line by line basis, rotational distortion of a raster scan in a cathode ray tube, comprising: computer code for generating a first correction magnetic flux component; computer code for adding the first correction magnetic flux to a first vertical deflection magnetic flux component in real time; computer code for generating a second correction magnetic flux component; computer code for generating a resultant vertical deflection magnetic flux by adding the second correction magnetic flux to a second vertical deflection magnetic flux component in real time wherein the resultant magnetic flux is a substantially free of rotational distortions; and computer readable medium for storing the computer code."

The aforementioned underlined limitations do not have support in the specification as originally field. In particular, the parent case U.S. Application 10/248,660 now U.S. Patent No.

Art Unit: 2821

6,686,707, does not have support for the claimed subject matter as claimed in claim 9 of the instant application.

Therefore, the claims contain the subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed.

Claim 11 is also rejected as being dependent of the rejected independent base claim 9.

Similarly, claims 10 and 12, line 1, respectively, recites a limitation "<u>Computer</u> program product" which does not have support in the specification as originally field. In particular, the parent case U.S. Application No. 10/248,660 now U.S. Patent No. 6,686,707, does not have support for the claimed subject matter as claimed in claims 10 and 12 of the instant application.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "Computer program product as recited in claim 8" in line

1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 12 is also rejected as being dependent of the rejected claim 10.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection

Application/Control Number: 10/707,314

Art Unit: 2821

is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-8 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,686,707. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the following reason.

The instant application claimed invention, as claimed in claims 1-8, essentially claimed same scope of that of the already patented claimed subject matter in claims 1-15 of the U.S. Patent No. 6,686,707. Therefore, the conflicting claims are not identical, and they are not patentably distinct from each other.

Remarks

7. Claims 9-12 are not rejected over the prior art. However, if applicant provides sufficient evidence that the claimed subject matter has support in the original parent case, then claims 9-12 may be allowable depending upon the status of obviousness double patenting rejection as set forth above.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,314 Page 5

Art Unit: 2821

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hirtz et al. (US 5,600,212); teaches similar inventive subject matter.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ephrem Alemu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1818. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F Flex hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P Callahan can be reached on (571) 272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EA 6-09-06

PRIMARY EXAMINER